NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS FOR GEODETIC TIME SERIES

Modelos armónicos no lineales para series temporales geodéticas

Pedro Antonio Martínez Ortiz Dept. Applied Mathematics University of Alicante. Spain

June, 18th 2011

Memoria para la obtención del grado de Doctor

Director: José Manuel Ferrándiz Leal

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 1 / 53

1 INTRODUCTION

• Objectives and methodology





Objectives and methodology

THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem





• Objectives and methodology

THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem

3 NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

- The algorithm
- Length of day without tidal effects





Objectives and methodology

2 THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem

3 NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

- The algorithm
- Length of day without tidal effects

BACKGROUND: NOISE IN THE DATA





Objectives and methodology

THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem

3 NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

- The algorithm
- Length of day without tidal effects

BACKGROUND: NOISE IN THE DATA

FHAST ALGORITHM

- The algorithm
- Synthetic example
- Position time series of GPS stations



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



Objectives and methodology

THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem

3 NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

- The algorithm
- Length of day without tidal effects

BACKGROUND: NOISE IN THE DATA

FHAST ALGORITHM

- The algorithm
- Synthetic example
- Position time series of GPS stations
- 6 THE PATCHED PERIODOGRAM
 - IAU1980 Pole offsets





Objectives and methodology

THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem

3 NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

- The algorithm
- Length of day without tidal effects

BACKGROUND: NOISE IN THE DATA

FHAST ALGORITHM

- The algorithm
- Synthetic example

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

- Position time series of GPS stations
- 6 THE PATCHED PERIODOGRAM
 - IAU1980 Pole offsets
- CONCLUSIONS



Objectives and methodology

THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM

- Introduction to time series analysis
- Harmonic Analysis
- The signal detection problem

3 NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

- The algorithm
- Length of day without tidal effects

BACKGROUND: NOISE IN THE DATA

FHAST ALGORITHM

- The algorithm
- Synthetic example
- Position time series of GPS stations
- THE PATCHED PERIODOGRAM
 - IAU1980 Pole offsets
- CONCLUSIONS
- OUTLOOK



1. INTRODUCTION



э

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

• Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not
- Studying some geodetic time series.

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not
- Studying some geodetic time series.
 - Length of day variations

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not
- Studying some geodetic time series.
 - Length of day variations
 - Position of GPS stations

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not
- Studying some geodetic time series.
 - Length of day variations
 - Position of GPS stations
 - Celestial Pole offsets

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not
- Studying some geodetic time series.
 - Length of day variations
 - Position of GPS stations
 - Celestial Pole offsets
- We have not focussed on the origin or cause of the periodic patterns. We will suggest possible candidate factors.

- Obtain a **comprehensive** and specialized **software** to analyze time series.
 - Evenly or unevenly time series
 - Afected by systematic errors or not
- Studying some geodetic time series.
 - Length of day variations
 - Position of GPS stations
 - Celestial Pole offsets
- We have not focussed on the origin or cause of the periodic patterns. We will suggest possible candidate factors.
- MATLAB was chosen as the main tool to carry out this task.



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 5 / 53

2. THE SIGNAL DETECTION PROBLEM



2.1. Introduction to time series analysis

Definition

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 6 / 53

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic $\Rightarrow L(t)$
- Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)$
- Noise $\Rightarrow R(t)$

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic \Rightarrow *L*(*t*)
- Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)$
- Noise $\Rightarrow R(t)$

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic $\Rightarrow L(t)$
- Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)$
- Noise $\Rightarrow R(t)$

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic $\Rightarrow L(t)$
- Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)$
- Noise $\Rightarrow R(t)$

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic \Rightarrow *L*(*t*)
- Periodic \Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)

• Noise
$$\Rightarrow R(t)$$

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic $\Rightarrow L(t)$
- Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)$
- Noise $\Rightarrow R(t)$

A time series is a sequence of data $\{d(t_1), d(t_2), \ldots, d(t_N)\}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained by estimating or measuring a certain magnitude d in a discrete set of epochs $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ where $t_i \neq t_j$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The finite set $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ is commonly known as the **time domain** of the series.



- Trend \Rightarrow T(t)
- Short Periodic $\Rightarrow S(t)$
- Long Periodic $\Rightarrow L(t)$
- Periodic \Rightarrow S(t) + L(t)
- Noise $\Rightarrow R(t)$

2.1. Introduction to time series analysis

Classification

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

Classification

• Regarding physical property *d*, we talk about **scalar** time series and **vectorial** time series.

Classification

- Regarding physical property *d*, we talk about **scalar** time series and **vectorial** time series.
- A evenly time series verifies:

$$t_i = t_1 + (i-1) \cdot \bigtriangleup t$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\bigtriangleup t \neq 0$

Classification

- Regarding physical property d, we talk about scalar time series and vectorial time series.
- A evenly time series verifies:

 $t_i = t_1 + (i-1) \cdot \bigtriangleup t$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\bigtriangleup t \neq 0$

Otherwise, the time series is called **unevenly**.
Classification

- Regarding physical property d, we talk about scalar time series and vectorial time series.
- A evenly time series verifies:

 $t_i = t_1 + (i-1) \cdot \bigtriangleup t$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\bigtriangleup t \neq 0$

Otherwise, the time series is called **unevenly**.

• If it depends on two or more parameters \Rightarrow multidimensional.

• **CYCLE**: pattern of states adopted by the phenomenon that is repeated at each certain period of time.

- **CYCLE**: pattern of states adopted by the phenomenon that is repeated at each certain period of time.
- **FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY**, *f*: is the number of cycles that the phenomenon completes per unit of time.

- **CYCLE**: pattern of states adopted by the phenomenon that is repeated at each certain period of time.
- **FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY**, *f*: is the number of cycles that the phenomenon completes per unit of time.
- ANGULAR FREQUENCY:

$$\omega = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f$$

- **CYCLE**: pattern of states adopted by the phenomenon that is repeated at each certain period of time.
- **FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY**, *f*: is the number of cycles that the phenomenon completes per unit of time.
- ANGULAR FREQUENCY:

$$\omega = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f$$

• **PERIOD**, Π: time took by the phenomenon to complete a cycle.

$$\Pi = rac{1}{f}$$

Objective and tools

• It is focused on the estimation of the harmonic content.

Objective and tools

- It is focused on the estimation of the harmonic content.
- The **periodogram** is a mathematical tool that allows us to guess the spectral lines that better explain the time series.

Objective and tools

• It is focused on the estimation of the harmonic content.

• The **periodogram** is a mathematical tool that allows us to guess the spectral lines that better explain the time series.

Leakage problem

• The energy of the frequency is leaked around the spectral line.



Objective and tools

• It is focused on the estimation of the harmonic content.

• The **periodogram** is a mathematical tool that allows us to guess the spectral lines that better explain the time series.

Leakage problem

• The energy of the frequency is leaked around the spectral line.

Solution

• You can avoid the inclusion of these spurious frequencies by drawing the harmonics from the periodogram **iteratively**.







3.1. The algorithm: Non-linear harmonic analysis

Suggested by W. Harada and T. Fukushima [Harada, 2003]

Suggested by W. Harada and T. Fukushima [Harada, 2003]

• The coefficients of a **polynomial quadratic trend** and other linear parameters (**Least Squares Method**)

Suggested by W. Harada and T. Fukushima [Harada, 2003]

- The coefficients of a **polynomial quadratic trend** and other linear parameters (**Least Squares Method**)
- Frequencies and other non-linear parameters (BFGS)

Suggested by W. Harada and T. Fukushima [Harada, 2003]

- The coefficients of a **polynomial quadratic trend** and other linear parameters (**Least Squares Method**)
- Frequencies and other non-linear parameters (BFGS)
- Number of non-linear parameters (until the weighted residual root mean square, WRMS, turns out to be less than a threshold)

Let us consider a vectorial time series $\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$

Let us consider a vectorial time series $\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{lm} \psi_l(t) |_{t=t_n} \right\} - d_{nm} \right]^2$$

Let us consider a vectorial time series
$$\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$$

Objective function

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{lm} \psi_l(t)|_{t=t_n}\right\} - d_{nm}\right]^2$$

•
$$d_{nm}$$
 is the value of the m-th component at epoch t_n .

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

Let us consider a vectorial time series
$$\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$$

Objective function

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{lm} \psi_l(t) |_{t=t_n} \right\} - d_{nm} \right]^2$$

- d_{nm} is the value of the m-th component at epoch t_n .
- N is the number of observations.

Let us consider a vectorial time series
$$\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$$

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{lm} \psi_l(t) |_{t=t_n} \right\} - d_{nm} \right]^2$$

- d_{nm} is the value of the m-th component at epoch t_n .
- N is the number of observations.
- M is the dimension of the vectorial time series.

Let us consider a vectorial time series
$$\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$$

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{lm} \psi_l(t) |_{t=t_n} \right\} - d_{nm} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- d_{nm} is the value of the m-th component at epoch t_n .
- N is the number of observations.
- M is the dimension of the vectorial time series.
- L is the number of basis functions ψ_I .

Let us consider a vectorial time series
$$\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$$

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{lm} \psi_l(t) |_{t=t_n} \right\} - d_{nm} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- d_{nm} is the value of the m-th component at epoch t_n .
- N is the number of observations.
- M is the dimension of the vectorial time series.
- L is the number of basis functions ψ_I .
- μ_{nm} is the weight assigned to d_{nm}

Let us consider a vectorial time series $\left\{t_n, \vec{d}_n\right\}_{n=1,...,N}$

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm} \left[\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{a_{lm} \psi_l(t)}{|_{t=t_n}} \right\} - d_{nm} \right]^2$$

- d_{nm} is the value of the m-th component at epoch t_n .
- N is the number of observations.
- M is the dimension of the vectorial time series.
- L is the number of basis functions ψ_l .
- μ_{nm} is the weight assigned to d_{nm}
- a_{lm} are the linear coefficients that need to be estimated.

The set of basis functions $\{\psi_l, l = 1 \dots L\}$ is:

The set of basis functions $\{\psi_l, \ l=1\dots L\}$ is:

• Three polynomial functions

$$\psi_1(\tau) = 1, \qquad \psi_2(\tau) = \frac{4\tau}{T}, \qquad \psi_3(\tau) = \left(\frac{3(N-1)}{4(N+1)}\right)\psi_2^2(\tau) - 1$$

The set of basis functions $\{\psi_l, \ l=1\dots L\}$ is:

• Three polynomial functions

$$\psi_1(\tau) = 1, \qquad \psi_2(\tau) = \frac{4\tau}{T}, \qquad \psi_3(\tau) = \left(\frac{3(N-1)}{4(N+1)}\right)\psi_2^2(\tau) - 1$$

where $T = t_N - t_1$, and $\tau = t - \frac{t_1 + t_N}{2} = t - t_1 - \frac{T}{2}$

The set of basis functions $\{\psi_l, \ l=1\dots L\}$ is:

• Three polynomial functions

$$\psi_1(\tau) = 1, \qquad \psi_2(\tau) = \frac{4\tau}{T}, \qquad \psi_3(\tau) = \left(\frac{3(N-1)}{4(N+1)}\right)\psi_2^2(\tau) - 1$$

• Two Fourier terms for each $\{\omega_k, k = 1..., K\}$

 $\psi_{2k+2}(\tau) = \sin(\omega_k \tau),$ $\psi_{2k+3}(\tau) = \cos(\omega_k \tau)$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

The set of basis functions $\{\psi_I, I = 1 \dots L\}$ is:

• Three polynomial functions

$$\psi_1(\tau) = 1, \qquad \psi_2(\tau) = \frac{4\tau}{T}, \qquad \psi_3(\tau) = \left(\frac{3(N-1)}{4(N+1)}\right)\psi_2^2(\tau) - 1$$

• Two Fourier terms for each $\{\omega_k, k = 1..., K\}$

 $\psi_{2k+2}(\tau) = \sin(\omega_k \tau),$ $\psi_{2k+3}(\tau) = \cos(\omega_k \tau)$

• Two mixed secular terms for some frequencies $\{\omega_{k_i}\}$ for $i = 1 \dots S$.

$$\psi_{2K+(i+3)}(\tau) = \tau \sin(\omega_{k_i}\tau)$$
$$\psi_{2K+(i+4)}(\tau) = \tau \cos(\omega_{k_i}\tau)$$

Image: A marked and A marked

START

Lomb Periodogram

$$P(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[rac{X_m V_m^2 + Y_m U_m^2 - 2Z_m U_m V_m}{X_m Y_m - Z_m^2}
ight]$$

START

Lomb Periodogram

$$P(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X_m V_m^2 + Y_m U_m^2 - 2Z_m U_m V_m}{X_m Y_m - Z_m^2} \right]$$

$$X_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \sin^2(\omega \tau_n), \quad Y_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \cos^2(\omega \tau_n),$$
$$Z_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \sin(\omega \tau_n) \cos(\omega \tau_n), \quad U_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \sin(\omega \tau_n),$$
$$V_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \cos(\omega \tau_n), \quad e_{nm} = d_{nm} - \left\{\sum_{l=1}^{L} a_l \psi_l(\tau_n)\right\}$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

June, 18th 2011 14 / 53

START

Lomb Periodogram

$$P(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X_m V_m^2 + Y_m U_m^2 - 2Z_m U_m V_m}{X_m Y_m - Z_m^2} \right]$$

$$X_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \sin^2(\omega \tau_n), \quad Y_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \cos^2(\omega \tau_n),$$
$$Z_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \sin(\omega \tau_n) \cos(\omega \tau_n), \quad U_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \sin(\omega \tau_n),$$
$$V_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \cos(\omega \tau_n), \quad e_{nm} = d_{nm} - \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_l \psi_l(\tau_n) \right\}$$

START

Lomb Periodogram

$$P(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X_m V_m^2 + Y_m U_m^2 - 2Z_m U_m V_m}{X_m Y_m - Z_m^2} \right]$$

$$X_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \sin^2(\omega\tau_n), \quad Y_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \cos^2(\omega\tau_n),$$
$$Z_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \sin(\omega\tau_n) \cos(\omega\tau_n), \quad U_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \sin(\omega\tau_n),$$
$$V_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \cos(\omega\tau_n), \quad e_{nm} = d_{nm} - \left\{\sum_{l=1}^{L} a_l \psi_l(\tau_n)\right\}$$

 $P = Max P(\omega), \qquad \omega_P = Arg max P(\omega)$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 14 / 53

Extended Periodogram

$$Q(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X'_m {V'_m}^2 + Y'_m {U'_m}^2 - 2Z'_m {U'_m} {V'_m}}{X'_m {Y'_m} - {Z'_m}^2} \right]$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

START

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 15 / 53

Extended Periodogram

$$Q(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X'_m {V'_m}^2 + Y'_m {U'_m}^2 - 2Z'_m {U'_m} {V'_m}}{X'_m {Y'_m} - {Z'_m}^2} \right]$$

$$X'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \sin^{2}(\omega\tau_{n}), \quad Y'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \cos^{2}(\omega\tau_{n}),$$
$$Z'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \sin(\omega\tau_{n}) \cos(\omega\tau_{n}), \quad U'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \tau_{n} \sin(\omega\tau_{n}),$$
$$V'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \tau_{n} \cos(\omega\tau_{n}), \quad e_{nm} = d_{nm} - \left\{\sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{l} \psi_{l}(\tau_{n})\right\}$$

Extended Periodogram

$$Q(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X'_m {V'_m}^2 + Y'_m {U'_m}^2 - 2Z'_m {U'_m} {V'_m}}{X'_m {Y'_m} - {Z'_m}^2} \right]$$

$$X'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \sin^{2}(\omega\tau_{n}), \quad Y'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \cos^{2}(\omega\tau_{n}),$$
$$Z'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \sin(\omega\tau_{n}) \cos(\omega\tau_{n}), \quad U'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \tau_{n} \sin(\omega\tau_{n}),$$
$$V'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \tau_{n} \cos(\omega\tau_{n}), \quad e_{nm} = d_{nm} - \left\{\sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{l} \psi_{l}(\tau_{n})\right\}$$

Extended Periodogram

$$Q(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[\frac{X'_m {V'_m}^2 + Y'_m {U'_m}^2 - 2Z'_m {U'_m} {V'_m}}{X'_m {Y'_m} - {Z'_m}^2} \right]$$

$$X'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \sin^{2}(\omega \tau_{n}), \quad Y'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \cos^{2}(\omega \tau_{n}),$$
$$Z'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} \tau_{n}^{2} \sin(\omega \tau_{n}) \cos(\omega \tau_{n}), \quad U'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \tau_{n} \sin(\omega \tau_{n}),$$
$$V'_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{nm} e_{nm} \tau_{n} \cos(\omega \tau_{n}), \quad e_{nm} = d_{nm} - \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{l} \psi_{l}(\tau_{n}) \right\}$$

 $Q = Max \ Q(\omega), \qquad \omega_Q = Arg \ max \ Q(\omega)$

June, 18th 2011 15 / 53
3.1. The algorithm: Frequency extracting process



• If $P > Q \Rightarrow \omega_k = \omega_P$ (Fourier)

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 16 / 53

Image: A matrix of the second seco

3.1. The algorithm: Frequency extracting process



• If $P > Q \Rightarrow \omega_k = \omega_P$ (Fourier) • If $P < Q \Rightarrow \omega_k = \omega_Q$ (Fourier + secular)

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS



We need to estimate the coefficients
 {*a_{lm}*, *l* = 1,...,*L*, *m* = 1,...,*M*} that
 minimize the objective function φ(*a*, *ω*)



We need to estimate the coefficients
 {*a_{lm}*, *l* = 1,..., *L*, *m* = 1,..., *M*} that
 minimize the objective function φ(*a*, *ω*)

 \Rightarrow Least Square Method **(LSM)**

• Once we have $\vec{a} = \hat{a}(\vec{\omega})$, we can regard



We need to estimate the coefficients
 {a_{lm}, I = 1,...,L, m = 1,...,M} that
 minimize the objective function φ(*a*, *a*)

 \Rightarrow Least Square Method **(LSM)**

• Once we have $\vec{a} = \hat{a}(\vec{\omega})$, we can regard

$$\phi(\vec{a},\vec{\omega}) = \hat{\phi}(\vec{\omega})$$

• Minimization problem with non-linear parameters



We need to estimate the coefficients
 {a_{lm}, I = 1,...,L, m = 1,...,M} that
 minimize the objective function φ(*a*, *a*)

 \Rightarrow Least Square Method **(LSM)**

• Once we have $\vec{a} = \hat{a}(\vec{\omega})$, we can regard

$$\phi(\vec{a},\vec{\omega}) = \hat{\phi}(\vec{\omega})$$

• Minimization problem with non-linear parameters

 \Rightarrow Quasi-Newton algorithm **BFGS**



• Weighted residual root mean square, WRMS:

$$WRMS = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm}}}$$

э

э.

Image: A math a math



• Weighted residual root mean square, WRMS:

$$WRMS = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm}}}$$

• If WRMS < ϵ

э

- ∢ ≣ →

Image: A math a math



• Weighted residual root mean square, WRMS:

$$WRMS = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm}}}$$

• If WRMS $< \epsilon \Rightarrow$ **STOP**

э

(日) (同) (三) (三)



• Weighted residual root mean square, WRMS:

$$WRMS = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm}}}$$

• If WRMS $< \epsilon \Rightarrow$ **STOP**

э

(日) (同) (三) (三)



• Weighted residual root mean square, WRMS:

$$WRMS = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm}}}$$

• If WRMS $< \epsilon \Rightarrow$ **STOP**

э

э.

Image: A math a math



• Weighted residual root mean square, WRMS:

$$WRMS = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{nm}}}$$

• If WRMS
$$< \epsilon \Rightarrow$$
 STOP

TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT

The method estimates linear and non-linear parameters again and again as a new frequency is added to the model.



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

APPLICATION OF THE NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODEL

3.2. THE LENGTH OF DAY WITHOUT TIDAL EFFECTS



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

- **Definition**: The variation of LOD (Δ) is the difference between the astronomically duration of the day and 86400 s.
- Source: VLBI data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- **Time domain**: Unevenly and daily time series from April 12th, 1980 to December 31st, 2008.
- Number of observations: $3197 \Rightarrow 30\%$ of 28 years.

- **Definition**: The variation of LOD (Δ) is the difference between the astronomically duration of the day and 86400 s.
- Source: VLBI data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- **Time domain**: Unevenly and daily time series from April 12th, 1980 to December 31st, 2008.
- Number of observations: $3197 \Rightarrow 30\%$ of 28 years.

- **Definition**: The variation of LOD (Δ) is the difference between the astronomically duration of the day and 86400 s.
- Source: VLBI data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- **Time domain**: Unevenly and daily time series from April 12th, 1980 to December 31st, 2008.
- Number of observations: $3197 \Rightarrow 30\%$ of 28 years.

- Definition: The variation of LOD (Δ) is the difference between the astronomically duration of the day and 86400 s.
- Source: VLBI data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- **Time domain**: Unevenly and daily time series from April 12th, 1980 to December 31st, 2008.
- Number of observations: $3197 \Rightarrow 30\%$ of 28 years.

RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- Definition: The variation of LOD (Δ) is the difference between the astronomically duration of the day and 86400 s.
- Source: VLBI data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- **Time domain**: Unevenly and daily time series from April 12th, 1980 to December 31st, 2008.
- Number of observations: $3197 \Rightarrow 30\%$ of 28 years.



INPUT DATA

Tidal effects $\delta \Delta$ can be modeled with high accuracy (Petit and Luzum, 2010):

$$\widehat{\Delta} = \Delta - \delta \Delta$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

ANALYSIS DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

- Trend: Quadratic polynomial trend component.
- **Periodogram step-size**: 10⁻⁵ cpd
- Number of frequencies: 15
- Final WRMS: 0.1839 ms
- WRMS reduction: 75.16%



 $\begin{aligned} T_{LOD} \left(\tau_n \right) &= \left(1.53931 \pm 0.00318 \right) + \\ &+ \left(-0.45459 \pm 0.00297 \right) \varphi_2 \left(\tau_n \right) + \\ &+ \left(-0.03832 \pm 0.00406 \right) \varphi_3 \left(\tau_n \right) \end{aligned}$

 $\tau_n = t_n - 49586.5 \text{ MJD}$

ANALYSIS DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

- Trend: Quadratic polynomial trend component.
- Periodogram step-size: 10⁻⁵ cpd
- Number of frequencies: 15
- Final WRMS: 0.1839 ms
- WRMS reduction: 75.16%





P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

ANALYSIS DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

- Trend: Quadratic polynomial trend component.
- **Periodogram step-size**: 10⁻⁵ cpd
- Number of frequencies: 15
- Final WRMS: 0.1839 ms
- WRMS reduction: 75.16%





P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

ANALYSIS DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

- Trend: Quadratic polynomial trend component.
- **Periodogram step-size**: 10⁻⁵ cpd
- Number of frequencies: 15
- Final WRMS: 0.1839 ms
- WRMS reduction: 75.16%



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

ANALYSIS DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

- Trend: Quadratic polynomial trend component.
- **Periodogram step-size**: 10⁻⁵ cpd
- Number of frequencies: 15
- Final WRMS: 0.1839 ms
- WRMS reduction: 75.16%



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

П	5	С
days	ms	ms
5916.35	-0.1247	0.6172
365.07	0.0931	-0.3455
182.73	0.1499	-0.2115
870.38	0.0893	0.0040
1631.87	-0.0006	-0.0050
981.52	0.0495	-0.0293
121.84	0.0419	0.0211
690.65	-0.0027	-0.0511
91.05	-0.0141	0.0424
13.66	0.0229	-0.0386
780.28	-0.0048	-0.0473
125.67	0.0322	0.0234
98.78	0.0037	-0.0070
75.18	-0.0037	-0.0379
71.79	-0.0057	0.0165

5	С
ms	ms
-0.1247	0.6172
0.0931	-0.3455
0.1499	-0.2115
0.0893	0.0040
-0.0006	-0.0050
0.0495	-0.0293
0.0419	0.0211
-0.0027	-0.0511
-0.0141	0.0424
0.0229	-0.0386
-0.0048	-0.0473
0.0322	0.0234
0.0037	-0.0070
-0.0037	-0.0379
-0.0057	0.0165
	<i>S</i> ms -0.1247 0.0931 0.1499 0.0893 -0.0006 0.0495 0.0419 -0.0027 -0.0141 0.0229 -0.0048 0.0322 0.0037 -0.0037 -0.0037

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ **QBO**?
- Period of \approx 4.47 years \Rightarrow ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 μs .
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

5	С
ms	ms
-0.1247	0.6172
0.0931	-0.3455
0.1499	-0.2115
0.0893	0.0040
-0.0006	-0.0050
0.0495	-0.0293
0.0419	0.0211
-0.0027	-0.0511
-0.0141	0.0424
0.0229	-0.0386
-0.0048	-0.0473
0.0322	0.0234
0.0037	-0.0070
-0.0037	-0.0379
-0.0057	0.0165
	<i>S</i> ms -0.1247 0.0931 0.1499 0.0893 -0.0006 0.0495 0.0419 -0.0027 -0.0141 0.0229 -0.0048 0.0322 0.0037 -0.0037 -0.0057

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ **QBO**?
- Period of \approx 4.47 years \Rightarrow ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 μs .
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

П	5	С
days	ms	ms
5916.35	-0.1247	0.6172
365.07	0.0931	-0.3455
182.73	0.1499	-0.2115
870.38	0.0893	0.0040
1631.87	-0.0006	-0.0050
981.52	0.0495	-0.0293
121.84	0.0419	0.0211
690.65	-0.0027	-0.0511
91.05	-0.0141	0.0424
13.66	0.0229	-0.0386
780.28	-0.0048	-0.0473
125.67	0.0322	0.0234
98.78	0.0037	-0.0070
75.18	-0.0037	-0.0379
71.79	-0.0057	0.0165

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ **QBO**?
- Period of \approx 4.47 years \Rightarrow ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 μs .
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

П	5	С
days	ms	ms
5916.35	-0.1247	0.6172
365.07	0.0931	-0.3455
182.73	0.1499	-0.2115
870.38	0.0893	0.0040
1631.87	-0.0006	-0.0050
981.52	0.0495	-0.0293
121.84	0.0419	0.0211
690.65	-0.0027	-0.0511
91.05	-0.0141	0.0424
13.66	0.0229	-0.0386
780.28	-0.0048	-0.0473
125.67	0.0322	0.0234
98.78	0.0037	-0.0070
75.18	-0.0037	-0.0379
71.79	-0.0057	0.0165

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ QBO?
- Period of \approx 4.47 years \Rightarrow ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 μs .
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

5	С
ms	ms
-0.1247	0.6172
0.0931	-0.3455
0.1499	-0.2115
0.0893	0.0040
-0.0006	-0.0050
0.0495	-0.0293
0.0419	0.0211
-0.0027	-0.0511
-0.0141	0.0424
0.0229	-0.0386
-0.0048	-0.0473
0.0322	0.0234
0.0037	-0.0070
-0.0037	-0.0379
-0.0057	0.0165
	<i>S</i> ms -0.1247 0.0931 0.1499 0.0893 -0.0006 0.0495 0.0419 -0.0027 -0.0141 0.0229 -0.0048 0.0322 0.0037 -0.0037 -0.0057

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ QBO?
- Period of ≈ 4.47 years ⇒
 ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 µs.
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

П	5	С
days	ms	ms
5916.35	-0.1247	0.6172
365.07	0.0931	-0.3455
182.73	0.1499	-0.2115
870.38	0.0893	0.0040
1631.87	-0.0006	-0.0050
981.52	0.0495	-0.0293
121.84	0.0419	0.0211
690.65	-0.0027	-0.0511
91.05	-0.0141	0.0424
13.66	0.0229	-0.0386
780.28	-0.0048	-0.0473
125.67	0.0322	0.0234
98.78	0.0037	-0.0070
75.18	-0.0037	-0.0379
71.79	-0.0057	0.0165

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ QBO?
- Period of \approx 4.47 years \Rightarrow ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 μs .
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

П	S	С
days	ms	ms
5916.35	-0.1247	0.6172
365.07	0.0931	-0.3455
182.73	0.1499	-0.2115
870.38	0.0893	0.0040
1631.87	-0.0006	-0.0050
981.52	0.0495	-0.0293
121.84	0.0419	0.0211
690.65	-0.0027	-0.0511
91.05	-0.0141	0.0424
13.66	0.0229	-0.0386
780.28	-0.0048	-0.0473
125.67	0.0322	0.0234
98.78	0.0037	-0.0070
75.18	-0.0037	-0.0379
71.79	-0.0057	0.0165

- 16.20 years with an amplitude of 0.6296±0.0037 *ms*
- Annual signal with an amplitude of 0.3578±0.0033 *ms*
- Semiannual signal with an amplitude of 0.2593±0.0033 *ms*
- Lunar semi-sidereal period
- Periods ranging from 1.8 to 2.5 years ⇒ **QBO**?
- Period of \approx 4.47 years \Rightarrow ENSO?Period is linked to mixed secular terms with an amplitude of 0.0288 μs .
- Also linked to mixed secular terms.

Signal (k=100) at 8.5% p-p Noise



Signal (k=100) at 8.5% p-p Noise



4. Background: noise in the data

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

4. Background: noise in the data

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = \mathbf{P_0} \cdot f^k$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS
POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + k \cdot \log f$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 24 / 53

э

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \log f$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 24 / 53

э

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1
- Random Walk Noise: k = -2

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \frac{k}{k} \cdot \log f$$



POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1
- Random Walk Noise: k = -2

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \frac{k}{k} \cdot \log f$$



POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1

• Random Walk Noise: k = -2

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \frac{k}{k} \cdot \log f$$



NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1

• Random Walk Noise: k = -2

COLORED NOISE NOT WHITE

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \log f$$



POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1

• Random Walk Noise: k = -2

COLORED NOISE NOT WHITE

$$Q_y = \sigma_k \cdot Q_k$$

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \frac{k}{k} \cdot \log f$$



POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1

• Random Walk Noise: k = -2

COLORED NOISE NOT WHITE

$$Q_y = \sigma_k \cdot \mathbf{Q}_k$$

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \log f$$



POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1

• Random Walk Noise: k = -2

COLORED NOISE NOT WHITE

$$Q_y = \sigma_k \cdot Q_k$$

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \log f$$



NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

POWER SPECTRA

$$P(f) = P_0 \cdot f^k$$

- White Noise: k = 0
- Flicker Noise: k = -1

• Random Walk Noise: k = -2

COLORED NOISE NOT WHITE

$$Q_y = \sigma_k \cdot Q_k$$

[SDP Williams, 2003]

Log-Log scale

$$\log P(f) = \log P_0 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \log f$$



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

ORTIZ () NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

221112

June, 18th 2011 25

Mannut

ଚ ବ ୧୦ 5 / 53

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

5. FHAST ALGORITHM

Canal Street

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011

୬ < ୍ 25 / 53

This new algorithm combines the strongest points of:

This new algorithm combines the strongest points of:

• Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

FUNCTIONAL MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

FUNCTIONAL MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

FUNCTIONAL MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

FUNCTIONAL MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$

STOCHASTIC MODEL

$$D(\vec{d}) = Q_y = Q_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sigma_k Q_k$$

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

FUNCTIONAL MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$

STOCHASTIC MODEL

$$D(\vec{d}) = Q_y = Q_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sigma_k Q_k$$

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

FUNCTIONAL MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$

STOCHASTIC MODEL

$$D(\vec{d}) = Q_y = Q_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sigma_k Q_k$$

- Non-Linear Harmonic Analysis (Fukushima and Harada)
- Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE) (Amiri-Simkooei and Teunissen)

This procedure allows us to determine a:

FUNCTIONAL MODELSTOCHASTIC MODEL
$$E(\vec{d}) = \vec{h} = B \cdot \vec{a}$$
 $D(\vec{d}) = Q_y = Q_0 + \sum_{x \in A} C_x$

We **avoid** including **spurious frequencies** that might refer to the noise but not to the phenomenon represented by the data.

 $+\sum_{k=1}^{p}\sigma_{k}Q_{k}$

Simplification: Scalar time series + single colored noise component

Simplification: Scalar time series + single colored noise component

Objective function for white noise component

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \left[d_n - \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_l \psi_l(t_n) \right\} \right]^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \left(d_n - h_n \right)^2$$

Simplification: Scalar time series + single colored noise component

Objective function for white noise component

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \left[d_n - \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_l \psi_l(t_n) \right\} \right]^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \left(d_n - h_n \right)^2$$

GENERALIZED OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

$$\phi = (d - h)^{T} Q_{y}^{-1} (d - h) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{ij} (d_{i} - h_{i}) \cdot (d_{j} - h_{j})$$

Simplification: Scalar time series + single colored noise component

Objective function for white noise component

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \left[d_n - \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_l \psi_l(t_n) \right\} \right]^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_n \left(d_n - h_n \right)^2$$

GENERALIZED OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

$$\phi = (d - h)^{T} Q_{y}^{-1} (d - h) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{ij} (d_{i} - h_{i}) \cdot (d_{j} - h_{j})$$

TREND

Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

TREND

Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).



Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

Step 2: Linear robust fit of the log-log Lomb periodogram

< A[™] →

э



Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

Step 2: Linear robust fit of the *log-log* Lomb periodogram

• Slope \Rightarrow spectral index (k)

э

< 4 ₽ > <



Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

Step 2: Linear robust fit of the log-log Lomb periodogram

- Slope \Rightarrow spectral index (k)
- SDP Williams, 2003 \Rightarrow cofactor matrix (Q_k)

A 🖓



Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

Step 2: Linear robust fit of the log-log Lomb periodogram

- Slope \Rightarrow spectral index (k)
- SDP Williams, 2003 \Rightarrow cofactor matrix (Q_k)

Step 3: Calculate the Lomb and the extended periodogram to extract the new frequency:

$$P(B_{j},\omega_{j}) = d^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B_{j}(B_{j}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B_{j})^{-1}B_{j}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}d$$



Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

Step 2: Linear robust fit of the log-log Lomb periodogram

- Slope ⇒ **spectral index** (k)
- SDP Williams, 2003 \Rightarrow cofactor matrix (Q_k)

Step 3: Calculate the Lomb and the extended periodogram to extract the new frequency:

$$P(B_j,\omega_j) = d^{T}Q_y^{-1}B_j(B_j^{T}Q_y^{-1}B_j)^{-1}B_j^{T}Q_y^{-1}d$$

Denoised Lomb Periodogram

$$B_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\omega_{j}t_{1}) & \cos(\omega_{j}t_{1}) \\ \sin(\omega_{j}t_{2}) & \cos(\omega_{j}t_{2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \sin(\omega_{j}t_{N}) & \cos(\omega_{j}t_{N}) \end{pmatrix}$$



Step 1: Remove trend component (LSM).

Step 2: Linear robust fit of the log-log Lomb periodogram

- Slope \Rightarrow spectral index (k)
- SDP Williams, 2003 \Rightarrow cofactor matrix (Q_k)

Step 3: Calculate the Lomb and the extended periodogram to extract the new frequency:

$$P(B_{j},\omega_{j}) = d^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B_{j}(B_{j}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B_{j})^{-1}B_{j}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}d$$

Denoised Extended Periodogram

$$B_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}_{1} \sin(\omega_{j}t_{1}) & \mathbf{t}_{1} \cos(\omega_{j}t_{1}) \\ \mathbf{t}_{2} \sin(\omega_{j}t_{2}) & \mathbf{t}_{2} \cos(\omega_{j}t_{2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{t}_{N} \sin(\omega_{j}t_{N}) & \mathbf{t}_{N} \cos(\omega_{j}t_{N}) \end{pmatrix}$$


Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

Image: A matrix of the second seco

э



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

A 1

э



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1}v$$

$$g_{kr} = \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_y^{-1}P_BQ_kQ_y^{-1}P_BQ_r\right)$$

$$v_k = \frac{1}{2}\hat{e}^{-T}Q_y^{-1}Q_kQ_y^{-1}\hat{e} - \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_kQ_y^{-1}P_BQ_0Q_y^{-1}P_B\right)$$

$$\hat{e} = P_Bd$$

$$P_B = I - B\left(B^{-T}Q_y^{-1}B\right)^{-1}B^{-T}Q_y^{-1}$$

3. 3

3.5

Image: A matrix of the second seco



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1}v$$

$$g_{kr} = \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{r}\right)$$

$$v_{k} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{e}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}\hat{e} - \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{0}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}\right)$$

$$\hat{e} = P_{B}d$$

$$P_{B} = I - B\left(B^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B\right)^{-1}B^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}$$

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 29 / 53

3. 3

.∃ →

Image: A matrix of the second seco



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1}v$$

$$g_{kr} = \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{r}\right)$$

$$v_{k} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{e}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}\hat{e} - \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{0}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}\right)$$

$$\hat{e} = P_{B}d$$

$$P_{B} = I - B\left(B^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B\right)^{-1}B^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}$$

Image: A matrix of the second seco

June, 18th 2011 29 / 53

3. 3

.∃ >



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1}v$$

$$g_{kr} = \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{r}\right)$$

$$v_{k} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{e}^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}\hat{e} - \frac{1}{2}tr\left(Q_{k}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}Q_{0}Q_{y}^{-1}P_{B}\right)$$

$$\hat{e} = P_{B}d$$

$$P_{B} = I - B\left(B^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}B\right)^{-1}B^{T}Q_{y}^{-1}$$

Image: A matrix of the second seco

3. 3

.∃ >



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.

э



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.

э



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1}v$$

- Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.
- Step 6: **Re-estimate the functional and stochastic model** by taking into account the vector of angular frequencies obtained from the previous step.

• • • • • • • • • • •

June, 18th 2011 29 / 53



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

- Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.
- Step 6: Re-estimate the functional and stochastic model by taking into account the vector of angular frequencies obtained from the previous step.

Step 7: When should the algorithm stop?



A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

- Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.
- Step 6: Re-estimate the functional and stochastic model by taking into account the vector of angular frequencies obtained from the previous step.

Step 7: When should the algorithm stop?

STOP CRITERIA

• WRMS< ϵ

•
$$SNR = \sqrt{P(f_i)} / median [P_i(f)] < \hat{\epsilon}$$

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1} v$$

- Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.
- Step 6: **Re-estimate the functional and stochastic model** by taking into account the vector of angular frequencies obtained from the previous step.

Step 7: When should the algorithm stop?

STOP CRITERIA

- WRMS< ϵ
- $SNR = \sqrt{P(f_i)} / median [P_i(f)] < \tilde{\epsilon}$
- A set number of frequecies is drawn.

June, 18th 2011 29 / 53

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



Step 4: Add the new frequency to the functional model matrix and estimate the variance component of the noise (LS-VCE)

$$\hat{\sigma}^{i+1} = G^{-1}v$$

- Step 5: Estimate linear and non-linear parameters of the functional model by using LSM and BFGS.
- Step 6: **Re-estimate the functional and stochastic model** by taking into account the vector of angular frequencies obtained from the previous step.

Step 7: When should the algorithm stop?

STOP CRITERIA

- WRMS< ϵ
- $SNR = \sqrt{P(f_i)} / median [P_i(f)] < \tilde{\epsilon}$
- A set number of frequecies is drawn.

June, 18th 2011 29 / 53

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



FILTERING PROCESS

• Frequencies with a **SNR** less than certain value are **removed** and the model is **re-estimated** with the **remaining signals**.

э

/□ ▶ 《 ⋽ ▶ 《 ⋽



FILTERING PROCESS

• Frequencies with a **SNR** less than certain value are **removed** and the model is **re-estimated** with the **remaining signals**.

IMPORTANT

 All the parameters in the functional model, as well as the variance component, are re-estimated again and again as a new frequency is added to the model.

SYNTHETIC SERIES

- Includes 1.04, 3 and 4.16 cycles/year (the latter linked to mixed secular terms).
- We add a flicker noise with $\sigma^2 = 0.3844$ units.

SYNTHETIC SERIES

- Includes 1.04, 3 and 4.16 cycles/year (the latter linked to mixed secular terms).
- We add a flicker noise with $\sigma^2 = 0.3844$ units.



SYNTHETIC SERIES

- Includes 1.04, 3 and 4.16 cycles/year (the latter linked to mixed secular terms).
- We add a flicker noise with $\sigma^2 = 0.3844$ units.



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC ALGORITHM

- Finds frequencies that not explain the nature of the time serie.
- Does not estimate the noise component.



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

FHAST ALGORITHM

- Gets the real frequencies with the correct amplitude and basis functions.
- Estimates the noise component properly.





RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- Input data: Obtained after removing trend, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- Input data: Obtained after removing trend, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- Input data: Obtained after removing trend, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- Definition: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- Input data: Obtained after removing trend, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- Input data: Obtained after removing trend, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- Input data: Obtained after removing trend, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



RAW DATA DESCRIPTION

- **Definition**: Horizontal and vertical variations of the position of GPS stations (ITRF2008)
- Source: GPS data provided by LAREG at IGN (France)
- Raw data: 3 scalar time series for station (E,N,H).
- Time domain: Unevenly with weekly observations.
- **Input data**: Obtained after **removing trend**, discontinuities and transformation parameters (translation, rotation and scale).

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

ANALYSIS

- Number of stations: 318 stations (\equiv 954 scalar time series).
- Stochastic Model: A single fractional spectral index.
- Harmonic Model: Up to 7 frequencies including a final filtering process (*SNR* < 3)
- Number of stations: 318 stations (\equiv 954 scalar time series).
- Stochastic Model: A single fractional spectral index.
- Harmonic Model: Up to 7 frequencies including a final filtering process (*SNR* < 3)



ANALYSIS

- Number of stations: 318 stations (\equiv 954 scalar time series).
- Stochastic Model: A single fractional spectral index.
- Harmonic Model: Up to 7 frequencies including a final filtering process (*SNR* < 3)

ESTIMATED NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS $k \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$

Component	μ	σ	IC_{σ}	Covariance Component
East (E)	-0.8427±0.0253	0.2214	[0.2129, 0.2488]	Less noisy
North (N)	-0.8648±0.0227	0.2054	[0.1906, 0.2227]	
Height (H)	-0.7950 ± 0.0212	0.1917	[0.1778, 0.2078]	The noisiest

ANALYSIS

- Number of stations: 318 stations (\equiv 954 scalar time series).
- Stochastic Model: A single fractional spectral index.
- Harmonic Model: Up to 7 frequencies including a final filtering process (*SNR* < 3)

ESTIMATED NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS $k \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$

Component	μ	σ	IC_{σ}	Covariance Component
East (E)	-0.8427±0.0253	0.2214	[0.2129, 0.2488]	Less noisy
North (N)	-0.8648±0.0227	0.2054	[0.1906, 0.2227]	
Height (H)	-0.7950±0.0212	0.1917	[0.1778, 0.2078]	The noisiest

- Number of stations: 318 stations (\equiv 954 scalar time series).
- Stochastic Model: A single fractional spectral index.
- Harmonic Model: Up to 7 frequencies including a final filtering process (*SNR* < 3)



- ▲ 17



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()



```
P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()
```



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

LARGER ANNUAL AMPLITUDE





Image: A match a ma

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

3



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS



6. THE PATCHED PERIODOGRAM

THEFT







June, 18th 2011 40 / 53



June, 18th 2011 40 / 53





June, 18th 2011 41 / 5



h frequencies



h frequencies



h frequencies







INPUT DATA

• IAU1980 pole offsets time series



INPUT DATA

- IAU1980 pole offsets time series
- Vectorial, evenly, daily spaced time series (δψ, δε)



INPUT DATA

- IAU1980 pole offsets time series
- Vectorial, evenly, daily spaced time series $(\delta\psi,\delta\epsilon)$
- Time domain: 23/Sep/2000-23/Sep/2010



INPUT DATA

- IAU1980 pole offsets time series
- Vectorial, evenly, daily spaced time series $(\delta\psi,\delta\epsilon)$
- Time domain: 23/Sep/2000-23/Sep/2010

ANALYSIS

• Comparison of the results by using the **common periodogram** (WNLHA) and the **patched periodogram** (QWNLH)



INPUT DATA

- IAU1980 pole offsets time series
- Vectorial, evenly, daily spaced time series $(\delta\psi,\delta\epsilon)$
- Time domain: 23/Sep/2000-23/Sep/2010

- Comparison of the results by using the **common periodogram** (WNLHA) and the **patched periodogram** (QWNLH)
- Number of frequencies: 20



INPUT DATA

- IAU1980 pole offsets time series
- Vectorial, evenly, daily spaced time series $(\delta\psi,\delta\epsilon)$
- Time domain: 23/Sep/2000-23/Sep/2010

- Comparison of the results by using the **common periodogram** (WNLHA) and the **patched periodogram** (QWNLH)
- Number of frequencies: 20
- Window-size: 300 frequencies



INPUT DATA

- IAU1980 pole offsets time series
- Vectorial, evenly, daily spaced time series $(\delta\psi,\delta\epsilon)$
- Time domain: 23/Sep/2000-23/Sep/2010

- Comparison of the results by using the **common periodogram** (WNLHA) and the **patched periodogram** (QWNLH)
- Number of frequencies: 20
- Window-size: 300 frequencies
- Correction stage after 4 patches
| No. | Ref. | П | S_{n} | $C_{n/2}$ | Se | Ce |
|-----|------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|
| | | days | mas | mas | mas | mas |
| 1 | 1 | 365.18 | 1.30 | - 5.76 | 0.36 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 2 | 182.96 | 1.75 | -1.11 | - 0.31 | - 0.53 |
| 3 | 3 | 3399.98 | 0.54 | 1.40 | - 0.53 | 1.14 |
| 4 | 6 | 1597.20 | -0.11 | -0.22 | 0.06 | -0.21 |
| 5 | 5 | 449.79 | 0.36 | 0.18 | -0.10 | 0.14 |
| 6 | 4 | 13.65 | -0.12 | -0.47 | - 0.24 | 0.13 |
| 7 | 7 | 31.90 | -0.19 | -0.12 | - 0.00 | - 0.03 |
| 8 | 8 | 27.53 | 0.15 | -0.08 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 9 | 9 | 27.02 | -0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | -0.10 |
| 10 | 11 | 13.59 | 0.01 | -0.10 | -0.10 | 0.02 |
| 11 | 10 | 371.38 | -0.96 | 0.40 | 1.62 | -0.12 |
| 12 | 12 | 9.55 | -0.00 | -0.14 | -0.01 | 0.03 |
| 13 | 14 | 14.79 | 0.05 | -0.02 | - 0.07 | -0.04 |
| 14 | 13 | 13.16 | 0.06 | -0.00 | -0.01 | -0.07 |
| 15 | 17 | 206.02 | -0.01 | -0.05 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| 16 | 16 | 9.12 | 0.08 | 0.01 | - 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 17 | 15 | 29.78 | - 0.02 | 0.00 | -0.01 | - 0.00 |

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	- 0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	- 0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	- 0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	- 0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	- 0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	- 0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00

No.	Ref.	П	S_{n}	$C_{n/2}$	Se	Ce
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	- 0.31	- 0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	- 0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	- 0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	- 0.00	- 0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	- 0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	- 0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	- 0.02	0.00	-0.01	- 0.00

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}		
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas		
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02		
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53		
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14		
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21		
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14		
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	-0.24	0.13		
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03		
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06		
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10		
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02		
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12		
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03		
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04		
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07		
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03		
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03		
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	- 0.00		
P. A	P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ () NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS							

Annual

June, 18th 2011

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}		
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas		
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02		
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	- 0.53		
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14		
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21		
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14		
6	4	13.65	- 0.12	-0.47	-0.24	0.13		
7	7	31.90	- 0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03		
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06		
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10		
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02		
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12		
12	12	9.55	- 0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03		
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04		
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07		
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03		
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03		
17	15	29.78	- 0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00		
P. A	P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ () NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS							

- Annual
- Semiannual

No.	Ref.	П	\mathcal{S}_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}		
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas		
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02		
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53		
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	- 0.53	1.14		
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21		
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14		
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	- 0.24	0.13		
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	- 0.00	-0.03		
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06		
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10		
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02		
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12		
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03		
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	- 0.07	-0.04		
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07		
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03		
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	- 0.04	0.03		
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00		
P. A	P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ () NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS							

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	-0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	- 0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	- 0.47	- 0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	- 0.00

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month
- Lunar semi-sidereal month

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	-0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month
- Lunar semi-sidereal month
- 445.5-day, Free Core Nutation

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	- 0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	-0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00

OBSERVATIONS

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month
- Lunar semi-sidereal month
- 445.5-day, Free Core Nutation
- 4.3-year

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS June, 18th 2011

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	- 0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	- 0.12	-0.47	- 0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	- 0.19	-0.12	- 0.00	- 0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	- 0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	- 0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	- 0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	- 0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00
P. A	. MART	ÍNEZ ORTIZ	()	NON-LINE	AR HARMON	IC MODELS

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month
- Lunar semi-sidereal month
- 445.5-day, Free Core Nutation
- 4.3-year
- Periods close to a sinodic month

June, 18th 2011 43 / 53

No.	Ref.	П	\mathcal{S}_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	-0.12	-0.47	-0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	-0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	- 0.02	- 0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	-0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month
- Lunar semi-sidereal month
- 445.5-day, Free Core Nutation
- 4.3-year
- Periods close to a sinodic month, a fortnight

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

No.	Ref.	П	S_ψ	C_ψ	S_{ϵ}	C_{ϵ}
		days	mas	mas	mas	mas
1	1	365.18	1.30	- 5.76	0.36	0.02
2	2	182.96	1.75	-1.11	-0.31	-0.53
3	3	3399.98	0.54	1.40	-0.53	1.14
4	6	1597.20	-0.11	-0.22	0.06	-0.21
5	5	449.79	0.36	0.18	-0.10	0.14
6	4	13.65	- 0.12	- 0.47	-0.24	0.13
7	7	31.90	- 0.19	-0.12	-0.00	-0.03
8	8	27.53	0.15	-0.08	0.02	0.06
9	9	27.02	-0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10
10	11	13.59	0.01	-0.10	-0.10	0.02
11	10	371.38	-0.96	0.40	1.62	-0.12
12	12	9.55	-0.00	-0.14	-0.01	0.03
13	14	14.79	0.05	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04
14	13	13.16	0.06	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07
15	17	206.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.03
16	16	9.12	0.08	0.01	- 0.04	0.03
17	15	29.78	- 0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.00
P. A	. MART	ÍNEZ ORTIZ	()	NON-LINEA	R HARMON	IC MODELS

- Annual
- Semiannual
- 9.3-year period (Lunar perigee)
- Lunar anomalistic month
- Lunar semi-sidereal month
- 445.5-day, Free Core Nutation
- 4.3-year
- Periods close to a sinodic month, a fortnight and 9 days.

June, 18th 2011 43 / 53

No.	П	SS_ψ	CC_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

э

No.	П	SS_ψ	\mathcal{CC}_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02



< 🗗 🕨 🔸

No.	П	SS_ψ	CC_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02



< 🗗 🕨 🔸



No.	П	SS_ψ	CC_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02





• WNLHA:

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 44 / 53

No.	П	SS_ψ	CC_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02





Computation time WNLHA: 3032.30 s

A 🖓

No.	П	SS_ψ	CC_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02





Con	nputation time
٥	WNLHA: 3032.30 s
۰	QWNLH:
_	

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

No.	П	SS_ψ	CC_ψ	SS_{ϵ}	CC_{ϵ}
	days	μ as	μ as	μ as	μ as
4	1597.20	-0.21	0.06	-0.26	-0.14
11	371.38	-0.25	-0.33	0.12	0.60
17	29.78	-0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03
20	9.33	0.02	0.10	0.03	-0.02





Con	nputation	time
٥	WNLHA:	3032.30 s
٠	QWNLH:	2286.61 s

< 🗗 🕨 🔸



NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 45 / 53



7. CONCLUSIONS



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

Development of the FHAST algorithm for the analysis of time series

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- **2** Development of the Patched Periodogram

- Development of the FHAST algorithm for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Somprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

- Development of the FHAST algorithm for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

• Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays

- Development of the FHAST algorithm for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms
- Estimated uncertainties for linear and non-linear parameters

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms
- Estimated uncertainties for linear and non-linear parameters

NLHA package

• Scalar and vectorial time series with white noise component

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms
- Estimated uncertainties for linear and non-linear parameters

NLHA package

- Scalar and vectorial time series with white noise component
- Harmonic content can be **derived** or **fixed**

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms
- Estimated uncertainties for linear and non-linear parameters

NLHA package

- Scalar and vectorial time series with white noise component
- Harmonic content can be derived or fixed

WNLHA package (as extension of NLHA package)

It can perform a weighted harmonic analysis

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms
- Estimated uncertainties for linear and non-linear parameters

NLHA package

- Scalar and vectorial time series with white noise component
- Harmonic content can be derived or fixed

WNLHA package (as extension of NLHA package)

- It can perform a weighted harmonic analysis
- Adds other stop criteria and includes a filtering SNR process

- **Development of the FHAST algorithm** for the analysis of time series
- 2 Development of the Patched Periodogram
- Omprehensive software for non-linear harmonic analysis of time series (MATLAB)

COMMON PATTERNS OF ALL PACKAGES

- Evenly or unevenly time series with graphical displays
- Functional model: Quadratic polynomial trend+ Fourier + mixed secular terms
- Estimated uncertainties for linear and non-linear parameters

NLHA package

- Scalar and vectorial time series with white noise component
- Harmonic content can be derived or fixed

WNLHA package (as extension of NLHA package)

- It can perform a weighted harmonic analysis
- Adds other stop criteria and includes a filtering SNR process
- Periodogram estimation can be slightly accelerated

FHAST package:

• Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise

э

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative
FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

ANALYZED TIME SERIES

Celestial Pole offsets

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

- Celestial Pole offsets
- Length of day variations

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

- Celestial Pole offsets
- Length of day variations
- Geocenter variations caused by continental water flux

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

- Celestial Pole offsets
- Length of day variations
- Geocenter variations caused by continental water flux
- Multidimensional spatio-temporal models for continental water flux

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

- Celestial Pole offsets
- Length of day variations
- Geocenter variations caused by continental water flux
- Multidimensional spatio-temporal models for continental water flux
- Position of GPS stations

FHAST package:

- Addressed to scalar time series+ colored noise
- Stochastic Model that can be fixed or estimated
- (Co)variance component estimations can be negative

RFHAST package (extension of FHAST package)

• An exponential re-parametrization is performed for the LS-VCE process

- Celestial Pole offsets
- Length of day variations
- Geocenter variations caused by continental water flux
- Multidimensional spatio-temporal models for continental water flux
- Position of GPS stations





P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 48 / 5

• Application of the routines and algorithms:



P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

• To other time series coming from different fields



• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

• Improvement of the routines and algorithms:

• Robust determination of crossover frequencies

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

- Robust determination of crossover frequencies
- Solve problems related to the ill-conditioned matrices

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

- Robust determination of crossover frequencies
- Solve problems related to the ill-conditioned matrices
- Analysis of vectorial time series by using the FHAST algorithm (FHAST-VEC package)

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

- Robust determination of crossover frequencies
- Solve problems related to the ill-conditioned matrices
- Analysis of vectorial time series by using the FHAST algorithm (FHAST-VEC package) \Rightarrow GPS+VLBI position time series

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

- Robust determination of crossover frequencies
- Solve problems related to the ill-conditioned matrices
- Analysis of vectorial time series by using the FHAST algorithm (FHAST-VEC package) \Rightarrow GPS+VLBI position time series
- Improve the computation time

• Application of the routines and algorithms:

- To other time series coming from different fields
- Focus on the origin of the detected signals

- Robust determination of crossover frequencies
- Solve problems related to the ill-conditioned matrices
- Analysis of vectorial time series by using the FHAST algorithm (FHAST-VEC package) ⇒ GPS+VLBI position time series
- Improve the computation time \Rightarrow PATCHED PERIODOGRAM

ARTICLES AND PAPERS

- Martinez-Ortiz P. and Ferrandiz J.M., Linear versus non-linear harmonic method in MATLAB for the spatio-temporal modelling of continental water flux. (IN PREPARATION)
- Martinez-Ortiz P., Ferrandiz J.M., Altamimi Z. and Collilieux X., FHAST toolbox: A combination of non-linear harmonic and stochastic models for geodetic time series analysis. (IN PREPARATION)
- Martinez-Ortiz P. and Ferrandiz J.M., The patched periodogram: an new accelerated way of estimating spectral lines(IN PREPARATION)
- Ferrándiz J.M.; Martínez-Ortiz P.; García D., Effects of time gravity changes on the Earth nutations (IN PREPARATION)

CONGRESS AND MEETINGS

- Ferrándiz J.M.; Martínez-Ortiz P.; García D., Effects of time gravity changes on the Earth nutations, EGU General Assembly 2011 (POSTER), Viena (AUSTRIA) (03/04/2011 - 08/04/2011)
- Martinez-Ortiz P.; Ferrandiz J.; Altamimi Z.; Collilieux X., Combination of Non-Linear Harmonic and Stochastic Models for Geodetic Time Series Analysis (ORAL COMMUNICATION), 10th International Conference on Computational and Mathematical Methods in Science and Engineering, CMMSE 2010, Almería, Spain and Madison, WI, USA (26/06/2010 - 30/06/2010)
- Martínez-Ortiz P.; Ferrándiz J.M., Non-linear Harmonic Models for some Geophysical Time Series (ORAL COMMUNICATION), EGU General Assembly 2010, Viena (AUSTRIA) (02/05/2010 - 07/05/2010)
- Martínez-Ortiz, P.A.; Ferrándiz, J.M., Applications of non-linear harmonic analysis to some geophysical problems (ORAL COMMUNICATION), CMMSE 2009, Gijón (30/06/2009 - 03/07/2009)

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

- José Manuel Ferrándiz Leal for all he has done for me and this work.
- We acknowledge and thank Laboratoire de Recherche en Géodésie, LAREG (IGN) for its collaboration, especially Zuheir Altamimi and Xavier Collilieux for their suggestions, advice and help.
- A grant from the Generalitat Valenciana (BFPI/2007/036)
- A grant from the Applied Mathematics Department associated to the proyect *Geodesia Espacial y Dinámica Espacial*

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS FOR GEODETIC TIME SERIES

Modelos armónicos no lineales para series temporales geodéticas

Pedro Antonio Martínez Ortiz Dept. Applied Mathematics University of Alicante. Spain

June, 18th 2011

Memoria para la obtención del grado de Doctor

Director: José Manuel Ferrándiz Leal

P. A. MARTÍNEZ ORTIZ ()

NON-LINEAR HARMONIC MODELS

June, 18th 2011 53 / 53